

MASO Softball Umpire Evaluation Form

Evaluator Name:	
Date/Location/Field #:	
Teams: vs	
Crew (circle name of ump being evaluated): Plate: Base:	
1. Professionalism & Game Management (1-5 Rating	j
Scale)	
(1 – Needs Improvement, 5 – Excellent)	
 Arrives on time, properly dressed, and prepared: Displays confidence and authority on the field: Communicates effectively with coaches and players: Handles arguments/conflicts professionally: Works well with partner(s) and follows proper mechanics: Comments: 	
2. Positioning & Mechanics (1-5 Rating Scale)	
 Proper use of two-umpire mechanics: Maintains correct positioning for optimal calls: Rotates effectively in coverage: Hustles and maintains focus: Comments: 	
3. Rules Knowledge & Application (1-5 Rating Scale)
 Applies NFHS rules correctly: Demonstrates consistent judgment: Handles unusual situations appropriately: Comments: 	

^{1 -} Needs Improvement: The umpire's performance was significantly below expectations. Requires considerable development in the area being evaluated

^{2 -} Fair: The umpire's performance was below average, showing some areas for improvement. Additional training or focus may be needed.

^{3 -} Satisfactory: The umpire's performance met expectations, with no significant issues. The performance is acceptable but may have minor areas for growth.

^{4 -} Good: The umpire demonstrated solid performance, consistently meeting or slightly exceeding expectations. Few minor improvements could elevate performance further.

^{5 -} Excellent: The umpire's performance was outstanding, consistently exceeding expectations. Demonstrated a high level of skill and professionalism.



4. Strike Zone & Judgment (1-5 Rating Scale)

	 Consistently accurate strike zone: Good judgment on safe/out, fair/foul, and catch/no catch calls: Maintains game flow and avoids unnecessary delays: Comments:
5.	Communication & Game Flow (1-5 Rating Scale)
	 Effectively signals call(s): Engages with partner for proper calls: Keeps game moving efficiently: Comments:
6.	Overall Performance & Areas for Improvement
	Strengths:Areas to Improve:

Recommended Action: (e.g., additional training, mechanics clinic, rules study,

mentorship)
Comments:

^{1 -} Needs Improvement: The umpire's performance was significantly below expectations. Requires considerable development in the area being evaluated

^{2 -} Fair: The umpire's performance was below average, showing some areas for improvement. Additional training or focus may be needed.

^{3 -} Satisfactory: The umpire's performance met expectations, with no significant issues. The performance is acceptable but may have minor areas for growth.

^{4 -} Good: The umpire demonstrated solid performance, consistently meeting or slightly exceeding expectations. Few minor improvements could elevate performance further.

^{5 -} Excellent: The umpire's performance was outstanding, consistently exceeding expectations. Demonstrated a high level of skill and professionalism.